

1 Michael W. Sobol (State Bar No. 194857)
(msobol@lchb.com)
2 Allison S. Elgart (State Bar No. 241901)
(aelgart@lchb.com)
3 LIEFF, CABRASER, HEIMANN &
BERNSTEIN, LLP
4 275 Battery Street, 29th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111-3339
5 Telephone: (415) 956-1000
Facsimile: (415) 956-1008
6

7 Michael A. Caddell (State Bar No. 249469)
(mac@caddellchapman.com)
8 Cynthia B. Chapman (State Bar No. 164471)
(cbc@caddellchapman.com)
9 CADDELL & CHAPMAN
1331 Lamar St., Suite 1070
Houston, TX 77010
10 Telephone: (713) 751-0400
Facsimile: (713) 751-0906
11

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

12
13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
14 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
15 (SOUTHERN DIVISION)

16 TERRI N. WHITE, *et al.*,
17 Plaintiffs,
18 v.
19 EXPERIAN INFORMATION
20 SOLUTIONS, INC.,
21 Defendant.

Case No. 05-CV-1070 DOC (MLGx)
(Lead Case)

**ORDER APPROVING SETTLING
PLAINTIFFS' REVISED
SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICES**

The Honorable David O. Carter

22 and Related Cases:

23 05-cv-01073-DOC (MLGx)
24 05-cv-7821-DOC (MLGx)
25 06-cv-0392-DOC (MLGx)
26 05-cv-1172-DOC (MLGx)
27 06-cv-5060-DOC (MLGx)
28

1 **I. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND**

2 On December 14, 2010, the Court granted the Settling Plaintiffs’ Motion for
3 Reconsideration with respect to the re-noticing of the entire notice list in the above-
4 captioned matter. (Dkt. No. 732.) The Court ruled that, in addition to those who
5 previously submitted Actual Damage Award and Convenience Award claims,
6 secondary notice should be sent only to individuals who previously opted out of, or
7 objected to, the settlement. (*Id.* at 9.) On December 22, 2010, in compliance with
8 the Court’s December 14, 2010 order, the Settling Plaintiffs filed their Submission
9 Complying with the Court’s Order Granting Plaintiffs’ Motion for Reconsideration
10 (Dkt. No. 733), which submitted proposed subsequent notices to Convenience
11 Award claimants, Actual Damage Award claimants, and opt-outs and objectors.
12 (*Id.* at Ex. D.)

13 On January 5, 2011, Defendants filed a Joint Statement Regarding Settling
14 Plaintiffs’ Submission Complying with the Court’s Order Dated December 14,
15 2010. (Dkt. No. 734.) On January 10, 2011, the *White* Plaintiffs filed Objections to
16 Settling Plaintiffs’ Proposed Supplemental Notice Forms Submitted in Response to
17 the Court’s Order Dated December 14, 2010. (Dkt. No. 737.)

18 Settling Plaintiffs revised the proposed supplemental notices to include both
19 the Defendants’ proposed language as well as the *White* Plaintiffs’ suggestions, and
20 filed their Notice of Revised Supplemental Notices on January 19, 2011. (Dkt. No.
21 739.)

22 **II. APPROVAL OF SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE**

23 Having reviewed Plaintiffs’ Submission Complying with the Court’s Order
24 Granting Plaintiffs’ Motion for Reconsideration, Defendants’ Joint Statement
25 Regarding the Settling Plaintiffs’ Submission, the *White* Plaintiffs’ Objections to
26 Settling Plaintiffs’ Submission, and the Plaintiffs’ revised supplemental notices, the
27 Court now FINDS, CONCLUDES, and ORDERS as follows:
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

The Court approves the form of the revised Supplemental Notices to Convenience Award Claimants, Actual Damage Award Claimants, and opt-outs and objectors that Plaintiffs submitted on January 19, 2011. (*See* Dkt. No. 739.)

On or before February 15, 2011, the Settlement Administrator shall cause the Supplemental Notices to be mailed to the Convenience Award Claimants, Actual Damage Award Claimants, opt-outs and objectors.

The Cost of sending the secondary notice shall be deducted from whatever fees the Court awards to Settling Plaintiffs' Counsel.

IT IS SO ORDERED,

February 04, 2011



HON. DAVID O. CARTER